(b) if so, what preventive measures Government propose to take in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI Z. R. ANSARI) : (a) Yes, Sir, the Power Station is discharging untreated effluents. However, the threat to aquatic life from Power Plant effluents has not j been studied.

(b) The following measures have been taken:—

(i) Legal steps have been taken against the Power Plant under Section 33 of the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 for getting a direction from the \ Court requiring Delhi Electric Supply Undertaking to set up treatment plants.

(ii) Show Cause Notice have j been issued to the Unit under Section 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 as to why the Unit should not be closed for violation of the provisions of Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974.

(iii) The Power Station authorities are now examining technical feasibility of provision of cooling towers, tanks, screening units to bring the effluent quality within the prescribed limits, which has been recommended by their consultants.

Formation of Khalistan Council

281. SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK;

SHRIMATIRENUKA HOWDHURY:

SHRI GAYA CHAND

BHUYAN: SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY:

Will the Minis+er of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the recent formation of the so-called Khalistan Council in Punjab;

(b) whether Government consider it as an open challenge to the unity of the country; and

(c) if so, what effective measures Government have contemplated in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P. CHIDAMBARAM) : (a) Yes, Sir.

(ta) and (c) The matter is being examined for taking legal action.

Afforestation Programme

282. SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK:

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: Will the Minister of ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be piеased to state:

(a) the estimated amount of money spent by each State Government on the afforestation programme during the la'st three years, year-wise;

(b) whether it is a fact that this programme has not shown any good results and if so, the names of the States where this Programme has failed or was upto th$_e$ mark; and

(c) whether the Central Government have taken any steps to make this programme successful?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI Z. R. ANSARI): (a) The de$^+$ail$_s$ are given in the Statement-I. (See below) .

(b) State-wise target and achieve ment and per cent shortfall in affore station for 1985-86 and 1986-87 are given in Statement-II. (See below) . The targets have been exceeded in most of the States.

(c) Yes, Sir. A National Waste lands Development Board was set

up in 1985 as a nodal agency o formulate consistent and coordinated policies for massive afforestation with special emphasis on iuelwood and fodder and the involvement of people in the afforestation of wastelands. The Board is promoting the development of wastelands through all possible agencies like State Governments, Nongovernment Organisations, Voluntary Agencies, and the public at large including the landless.

Statement— I

Expenditute ok Afforestation Programms

(Rs. in Lakhs)

S. No.	State/UT	1984-85*	1985-86**	1986-87*
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1157.12	2538.01	3290-56
2.	Assam	936.70	1564.70	1799.93
3.	Bihar	2062.05	3129.01	4048-92
4.	Gujarat .	2982.00	2280-81	2936-94
5.	Haryana	1174.09	1316 62	1327-34
6.	Himachal Pradesh	1414.21	1232 99	1637-53
7.	Jammu & Kashmir	472.71	659-39	843-56
8.	Karnataka	2787.00	2276.37	2076.83
9.	Kerala"	606.32	1528-40	1717.50
10.	Madhya Pradesh	2163.24	3234.95	4279 39
11.	Maharashtra	2083.91	2896.82	4032-69
12.	Manipur	133.49	224.25	249-40
13.	Meghalaya	177.14	522-48	521 - 88
14,	Nagaland	160.00	351-14	401 - 96
15.	Orissa	679.67	1536-06	1985-50
16.	Punjab	788-07	747-56	853-40
17.	Rajasthan	1880.00	1545-99	2892-17
18.	Sikkim	49.75	172 98	188-78
19.	Tamil Nadu	1675.42	2738 96	3243-10
20.	Tripura	245-05	389-91	416-90
21.	Uttar Pradesh	3478-34	3649-33	6443-28
22.	West Bengal	1196.60	2135-46	2574-08
23.	A & N Island	65.62	13660	12200
24.	Arunachal Pradesh	184.97	374-60	399.00
25.	Chandigarh	4-09	28-60	23.25
26.	Delhi	281.54	67-90	80-75

* and ** Reference

S. No.	State/UT	1984-85	(Rs. 1985-86	in lakhs) 1986-87
27	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	38.74	56.80	46.50
28	Goa. Daman & diu	49.35	106.70	114.50
29	*Lakshadweep*	*2.99*	3.60	*4-25*
30	Mizoram	10 90	368.36	537.59
31	Pondicherry	11-90	22-60	31.00
	TOTAL :	28952.98	37848.02	49120.98

* Figures reported by State Govts. ** Esimated expenditure induding releases by Govt, of India and State budget allocations

Statement-I] :

Targets & Achievements of Afforestation

State/UT.	19 J 35-86		19S	(Are,; in Ha.) 16-87
	Target	Achievement	Target	Achievement
Andhra Pradesh	130,000	157,800	150,000	143,707 50
Assam	20,000	19,800	20,000	31,275 00
Bihar	75,000	76,150	130,000	135.550 00
Gujarat	127,500	124,850	81,550	113,550-00
Haryana	47,500	46,850	36,250	37,079.00
Himachal Pradesh	27,500	33,600	31,250	7 33,564 00
Jammu & Kashmir	17,500	23,350	26,100	28,526.00
Karnataka	125,000	127.300	125,000	115,837 00
Kerala	30,000	58,300	60,000	75,962.00
Madhya Pradesh	175,000	175,050	185,000	196,000 00
Maharashtra	100,000	108,250	120,000	119,085.00
Manipur	6,000	6,250	j 8,000	7,440 00
Meghalaya	6,500	6,550	7,500	7,900.00
Nagaland	9,000	13,450	17,500	27,175-00
Orissa	157,100	96,500	120,000	116,336 00
Punjab	26,350	29,500	27.500	28,379-50
Rajasthan	41,000	46,900	55,000	67,051-50
Sikkim	4,100	4.100	5.500	5,751.5C

(Area in Ha)

State/UT.	1985-86		1986-87	
	Target	Achievement	Target	Achievement
Tamil Nadu	55,000	60,750-00	120,000	99,064 00
Tripura	7,500	10,000.00	16,000	13,150.00
Uttar Pradesh	162,500	177,400.00	225,000	243,250 00
West Bengal	55,000	55,750.00	70,000	70,800-00
A & N Island	4,750	4,750-00	6,000	6,116 00
Arunachal Pradesh	5,000	5,15000	6,250	6,254.00
Chandigarh	145	76.00	170	191.50
D & N Haven	1,500	1,550.00	2,500	1,76000
Delhi	1,250	1,250-00	1,500	3,151.50
Goa Daman & Diu	1,600	2,250.00	3,750	3,396.50
Lakshdweep	2	12.50	6	14-50
Mizoram	35,000	35,000.00	56,400	23,902.50
Pondicherry	500	550-00	500	646.50
TOTAL :	1,454,797	1,510,038-50	1,714,226	1,761,866 00

* Nationally computed @ 2000 trees per hectare.

1	Remark
%Shortfall during (1985-86)	
Assam	1
Gujarat	2
Haryana	2
Orissa	39
Chandigarh	48
%Shortfall during (1986-87)	
Andhra Pradesh	4
Karnataka	7
Maharashtra	1
Manipur	7
Orissa	3
Tamil Nadu	18
Tripura	18
Dadra N. Haveli	30
G. D. *Sc* Diu	10
Mizoram	58

Decline in Wild Life Population

283. SHRI MUKHTIAR SlNGH MALIK: Will the Minister of ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that of late there has been considerable decrease in the wild life population;

(b) whether it is also a fact that of late there has been indiscrimana!te killing of wild animals in the coun try; and

(c) if so, what steps Government propose to take in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI Z. R. ANSARI); (a) Enumeration have been carried out in the recent past in respect of some species. These do not indicate a considerable decrease